



---

alpha-crystallin genes to chromosome 21. and discriminated against DC and the District Court ignored that. The District Court's conclusion that DC was not liable for the construction contract dispute was erroneous. The record shows that, although the district court held that the contract's arbitration clause was illegal, it went on to analyze whether the dispute was subject to arbitration. The District Court then addressed the subcontract issue, in which it found that the contract was not a public contract, as opposed to a concession contract, and that therefore the subcontract issue was not arbitrable. However, in the same opinion, the District Court went on to state that "the plaintiffs ha[ve] failed to provide any basis for finding that there was a contract between DC and Continental."